UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,688              | 11/04/2003                 | Henning Bulow        | Q78112 4945         |                  |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI     | 7590 07/01/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2100 PENNSY             | LVANIA AVENUE, N           | LI, SHI K            |                     |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTOI | N, DC 20037                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | 2613                |                  |
|                         |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                            |                      | 07/01/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary   |  | Application  | ation No. Applicant(s)  |  |        |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/699,68  | 38  | BULOW, HENNING   |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examine  | •   | Art Unit   |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Shi K. Li  |   | 2613   |        |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply  | appears on the   | e cover sheet with the d  | correspondence ad  | ddress |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any r   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THE ALL STATES AND ALL STATE | HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ·      |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 1)[\  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 00   | 8 Anril 2008   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| '=  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  | on-final  |  |        |  |  |  |
| ′=  | / <del></del>  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| ٥/ك   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   | ·  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
|   | ·  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| ·   | Claim(s) 1 and 3-29 are subject to restriction   | on and/or alcot  | ion roquiroment   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 0)[   | Claim(s) <u>Tand 3-29</u> are subject to restricted  | ni and/or elect  | ion requirement.  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| -   | The specification is objected to by the Exam   |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  a)☐ a   | accepted or b)   | objected to by the l  | Examiner.  |        |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3) Inforr   | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  | ,  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:                                    | ate  |        |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species
 Species I corresponding to claims where each branch has a filter,

Species II corresponding to claims where one branch has no filter.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15 and 20 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including

Application/Control Number: 10/699,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shi K. Li whose telephone number is 571 272-3031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,688 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

skl

26 June 2008

/Shi K. Li/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613